

LYAPUNOV FUNCTIONALS AND LOCAL DISSIPATIVITY FOR THE VORTICITY EQUATION IN L^p AND BESOV SPACES

UTPAL MANNA*

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WYOMING,
LARAMIE, WYOMING 82071, USA
E-MAIL: UTPAL@UWYO.EDU

AND

S.S. SRITHARAN*

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WYOMING,
LARAMIE, WYOMING 82071, USA
E-MAIL: SRI@UWYO.EDU

ABSTRACT. In this paper we establish the local Lyapunov property of certain L^p and Besov norm of the vorticity fields. We have resolved in part, certain open problem posed by Tosio Kato for the three dimensional Navier-Stokes equation by studying the vorticity equation. The local dissipativity of the sum of linear and non-linear operators of the vorticity equation is established. One of the main techniques used here is the Littlewood-Paley analysis.

1. Introduction

Stability and control of a dynamical system is often studied using Lyapunov functions [12, 16, 17]. The local Lyapunov property we study in this paper can thus be of interest to the understanding, control and stabilization of turbulent fields [25]. This property also sheds some light towards the research on global Navier-Stokes solutions in super-critical spaces (for definitions and examples of these spaces see [7] and [9]). Weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation satisfy the energy inequality which in turn implies that the L^2 -norm of velocity decreases in time [15]. This idea was generalized by Tosio Kato [13] to prove that for every solution of Navier-Stokes equation in $\mathbb{R}^m$ ($m \geq 3$), there exist a large number of Lyapunov functions, which decrease monotonically in time if the solution have small $L^m(\mathbb{R}^m)$ -norm. More specifically Kato proved that the local Lyapunov property in L^p -norm for $1 < p < \infty$ and in $W^{s,p}$ -norm for $s > 0$, $2 \leq p < \infty$. He also noted that for any Lyapunov function $\mathfrak{L}(u)$ and any monotone increasing function Φ , $\Phi(\mathfrak{L}(u))$ will again be a Lyapunov function. Moreover Kato also proved the local dissipativity of the sum of the linear and nonlinear operators of the Navier-Stokes equation in

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q35, 47H06, 76D03, 76D05.

Key words and phrases. Vorticity equation, Lyapunov function, Dissipative operator, Littlewood-Paley decomposition, Besov Spaces.

* This research is supported by Army Research Office, Probability and Statistics Program, grant number DODARMY1736.

L^p -norm for $2 \leq p < \infty$. However the local dissipativity in $W^{s,p}$ -norm for $s > 0$ has remained an open problem.

Cannone and Planchon [10] proved the Lyapunov property for the 3-D Navier-Stokes equation in Besov spaces. In particular they proved that if $p, q \geq 2$, $\frac{3}{p} + \frac{2}{q} > 1$ and as long as the $\dot{B}_{\infty}^{-1,\infty}$ -norm of the velocity is small, the $\dot{B}_p^{-1+3/p,q}$ -norm of velocity decreases in time.

In [14] Koch and Tataru considered the local and global (in time) well-posedness for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation and proved the existence and uniqueness of global mild solution in BMO^{-1} provided that the initial solution is small enough in this space. Due to Cannone and Planchon [10], existence of the Lyapunov functions for small $\dot{B}_{\infty}^{-1,\infty}$ -norm is known but the global solvability of Navier-Stokes equation in this space remains an open problem. Noting the embedding theorem $BMO^{-1} \subset \dot{B}_{\infty}^{-1,\infty}$, BMO^{-1} is thus the largest space of initial data for which global mild solution has been shown to exist.

Recently, P. G. Lemarié-Rieusset [18] has extended the result of Cannone and Planchon [10] to a larger class of initial data. He proved that for initial data $u_0 \in \dot{B}_p^{s,q} \cap BMO^{-1}$ where $s > -1$, $p \geq 2$, $q \geq 1$ and $s + \frac{2}{q} > 0$, there exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ independent of p and q , such that if u is a Koch-Tataru solution of Navier-Stokes equation and satisfying $\sup_t \|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty}^{-1,\infty}} < C_0$, then $t \rightarrow \|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}_p^{s,q}}$ is a Lyapunov function.

Local monotonicity of different type has been used in proving the solvability in unbounded domains for Navier-Stokes in 2-D [20], in 3-D [3] and for modified 2-D Navier-Stokes with artificial compressibility [19]. Local monotonicity has also been useful in Control theory [3]. For extensive theories and applications on dissipative and accretive operators see Barbu [1] and Browder [6].

In this paper, we achieve a partial resolution to the open problems posed by Kato [13] for the Navier-Stokes equation by studying the vorticity equation:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \omega - \nu \Delta \omega + u \cdot \nabla \omega - \omega \cdot \nabla u = 0, & \text{in } R^m \times R_+, \\ \nabla \cdot \omega = 0, & \text{in } R^m \times R_+, \\ \omega(x, 0) = \omega_0(x), & x \in R^m. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

To be specific, we have proved that the vorticity equation have a family of Lyapunov functions in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^m)$ for $2 \leq p < \infty$ and $m \geq 3$ provided that the L^m -norm of the velocity is small enough. We then prove $\dot{B}_p^{-1+3/p,q}$ -norm of the vorticity is a Lyapunov function for 3-D vorticity equation provided the velocity and the vorticity are small in $\dot{B}_{\infty}^{-1,\infty}$ -norm and $\dot{B}_{\infty}^{-2,\infty}$ -norm respectively.

We have also proved the dissipativity of the sum of the linear and nonlinear operators of the vorticity equation (1.1) in L^p for $2 \leq p < \infty$, which in part answers the open problem of Kato for the local dissipativity of the Navier-Stokes operators in $W^{1,p}$ -norm.

In Section 2 and 3 we recall some basic facts concerning Littlewood-Paley decomposition, homogeneous Besov spaces and the Paraproduct rule. The main results are presented in section 4.

2. Some Definitions and Estimates

Definition 2.1. (*Duality Map*) The mapping $G : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ is called the duality mapping of the space X if

$$G(x) = \{x^* \in X^*; \langle x, x^* \rangle = \|x\|_X^2 = \|x^*\|_{X^*}^2, \forall x \in X\}.$$

Remark 2.2. The duality map for L^p is given by

$$G(x) = \frac{|x|^{p-2}x}{\|x\|_p^{p-2}}.$$

Definition 2.3. (*Dissipative Operator*) An operator A is said to be dissipative if

$$\langle Ax - Ay, G(x - y) \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall x, y \in D(A).$$

An operator A is said to be accretive if $-A$ is dissipative.

See [1] and [6] for extensive theories and applications on nonlinear operators in Banach spaces.

Definition 2.4. (*Lyapunov Function*) Let v be a solution of the Navier-Stokes equation. Then any function $\mathfrak{L}(v)(t)$ monotonically decreasing in time is called a Lyapunov function associated to v .

The most well-known example is certainly provided by energy [15]

$$E(v)(t) = \frac{1}{2} \|v(t)\|_2^2.$$

The energy equality for the Navier-Stokes equation yield

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(t) + \nu \|\nabla v(t)\|_2^2 = 0,$$

which proves that $E(t)$ is Lyapunov functional.

Let us now recall two lemmas due to Kato [13].

Lemma 2.5. Let $2 \leq p < \infty$ and $\phi \in W^{1,p}$. Define

$$Q_p(\phi) = \int_{\partial\phi(x) \neq 0} |\phi(x)|^{p-2} |\nabla\phi(x)|^2 dx \geq 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Then

$$CQ_p(\phi) \leq -\langle |\phi|^{p-2}\phi, \Delta\phi \rangle < \infty, \quad (2.2)$$

where C denotes a positive constant.

Lemma 2.6. Let $2 \leq p < \infty$ and $\phi \in W^{1,p}$. Then

$$\|\phi\|_{\frac{mp}{m-2}} \leq CQ_p(\phi)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (2.3)$$

Lemma 2.7. Let u be the velocity field obtained from ω via the Biot-Savart law:

$$u(x) = -\frac{\Gamma(m/2+1)}{m(m-2)\pi^{m/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \frac{(x-y)}{|x-y|^m} \times \omega(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^m, m \geq 3. \quad (2.4)$$

(a) Assume that $1 < p < \infty$. Then for every divergence-free vector field u whose gradient is in L^p , there exists a $C > 0$, depending on p , such that

$$\|\nabla u\|_p \leq C \|\omega\|_p. \quad (2.5)$$

(b) If $\omega \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^m) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^m)$, $\frac{m}{m-1} < p \leq \infty$, then

$$\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^m)} \leq C(\|\omega\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^m)} + \|\omega\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^m)}). \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. (a) See Theorem 3.1.1 in [11].

(b) The proof is due to Ying and Zhang [28], Lemma 3.3.1. $\square$

3. Littlewood-Paley Decomposition and Besov Spaces

In this section, we recall some classical results concerning the homogeneous Besov spaces in terms of the Littlewood-Paley decomposition. Several related embedding relations and inequalities will also be given here. For more details the reader is referred to the books [4], [8], [11], [22], [27] for a comprehensive treatment.

3.1. Littlewood-Paley Decomposition: Let us start with the Littlewood-Paley decomposition in $\mathbb{R}^3$. To this end, we take an arbitrary function ψ in the Schwartz class $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ whose Fourier transform $\hat{\psi}$ is such that

$$\text{supp } \hat{\psi} \subset \{\xi, \frac{1}{2} \leq |\xi| \leq 2\}, \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\forall \xi \neq 0, \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\psi}\left(\frac{\xi}{2^j}\right) = 1.$$

Let us define φ by

$$\hat{\varphi}(\xi) = 1 - \sum_{j \geq 0} \hat{\psi}\left(\frac{\xi}{2^j}\right),$$

and hence

$$\text{supp } \hat{\varphi} \subset \{\xi, |\xi| \leq 1\}. \quad (3.2)$$

For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we write $\varphi_j(x) = 2^{3j}\varphi(2^jx)$. We denote by S_j and Δ_j , the convolution operators with φ_j and ψ_j respectively. Hence

$$S_j(f) = f \star \varphi_j,$$

and

$$\Delta_j f = \psi_j \star f, \quad \text{where } \psi_j(x) = 2^{3j}\psi(2^jx).$$

Then

$$S_j = \sum_{p < j} \Delta_p \quad \text{and} \quad I = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \Delta_j.$$

The dyadic decomposition

$$u = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \Delta_j u, \quad (3.3)$$

is called the homogeneous Littlewood-Paley decomposition of u and converges only in the quotient space $\mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{P}$ where $\mathcal{S}'$ is the space of tempered distributions

and $\mathcal{P}$ is the space of polynomials. Now let us mention here the following quasi-orthogonality properties of the dyadic decomposition [11] (proposition 2.1.1):

$$\Delta_p \Delta_q u = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad |p - q| \geq 2, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\Delta_p (S_{q-2} u \Delta_q u) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad |p - q| \geq 4. \quad (3.5)$$

3.2. Besov Spaces: Let $0 < p, q \leq \infty$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then a tempered distribution f belongs to the homogeneous Besov space $\dot{B}_p^{s,q}$ if and only if

$$\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{jsq} \|\Delta_j f\|_p^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty \quad (3.6)$$

and $f = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \Delta_j f$ in $\mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{P}_m$ where $\mathcal{P}_m$ is the space of polynomials of degree $\leq m$ and $m = [s - \frac{d}{p}]$, the integer part of $s - \frac{d}{p}$.

Besov space is a quasi-Banach space [24]. Here we recall the following standard embedding rules [27] (chapter 2.7):

If $s_1 > s_2$ and $p_2 \geq p_1 \geq 1$ such that $s_1 - \frac{d}{p_1} = s_2 - \frac{d}{p_2}$, then

$$\dot{B}_{p_1}^{s_1,q} \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_{p_2}^{s_2,q}. \quad (3.7)$$

Moreover if $q_1 < q_2$ then

$$\dot{B}_p^{s,q_1} \hookrightarrow \dot{B}_p^{s,q_2}. \quad (3.8)$$

The above mentioned embeddings are also valid for inhomogeneous Besov spaces. For more embedding theorems and their proofs we refer the readers to [22] and [27].

Next let us recall the following result from Chapter 3 in Triebel [27]:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $s < 0$. Then $\forall f \in \dot{B}_p^{s,q}$ we have,*

$$\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{jsq} \|\Delta_j f\|_p^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty \Leftrightarrow \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{jsq} \|S_j f\|_p^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty. \quad (3.9)$$

Now we recall the following versions of Bernstein inequalities (chapter 3 in [18]):

Lemma 3.2. *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then there exist constants $C_0, C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that*

(a) *If f has its frequency in a ball $\mathbb{B}(0, \lambda)$ ($\text{supp } \mathcal{F}(f) \subset \mathbb{B}(0, \lambda)$) then*

$$\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} f\|_p \leq C_0 \lambda^{|s|} \|f\|_p. \quad (3.10)$$

(b) *If f has its frequency in an annulus $\mathbb{C}(0, A\lambda, B\lambda)$*

($\text{supp } \mathcal{F}(f) \subset \{\xi, A\lambda \leq |\xi| \leq B\lambda\}$) then

$$C_1 \lambda^{|s|} \|f\|_p \leq \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} f\|_p \leq C_2 \lambda^{|s|} \|f\|_p. \quad (3.11)$$

Now let us state here the modified Poincaré type inequality given by Planchon [23].

Lemma 3.3. *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}$, the Schwartz space, whose fourier transform is supported outside the ball $\mathbb{B}(0, 1)$. Then for $p \geq 2$,*

$$\int |f|^p dx \leq C_p \int |\nabla f|^2 |f|^{p-2} dx. \quad (3.12)$$

3.3. The Paraproduct rule: Another important tool in Littlewood-Paley analysis is the paraproduct operator introduced by J. M. Bony [5]. The idea of the paraproduct enables us to define a new product between distributions which turns out to be continuous in many functional spaces where the pointwise multiplication does not make sense. This is a powerful tool for the analysis of nonlinear partial differential equations.

Let $f, g \in \mathcal{S}'$. Then using the formal Littlewood-Paley decomposition,

$$f = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \Delta_j f, \quad g = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \Delta_j g.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} fg &= \sum_{j,l} \Delta_j f \Delta_l g \\ &= \sum_j \sum_{l < j-2} \Delta_j f \Delta_l g + \sum_j \sum_{l > j+2} \Delta_j f \Delta_l g + \sum_j \sum_{|l-j| \leq 2} \Delta_j f \Delta_l g \\ &= \sum_j \sum_{l < j-2} \Delta_j f \Delta_l g + \sum_l \sum_{j < l-2} \Delta_j f \Delta_l g + \sum_j \sum_{|l-j| \leq 2} \Delta_j f \Delta_l g \\ &= \sum_j \Delta_j f S_{j-2} g + \sum_j \Delta_j g S_{j-2} f + \sum_{|l-j| \leq 2} \Delta_j f \Delta_l g. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, the product of two tempered distributions is decomposed into two homogeneous paraproducts, respectively

$$\dot{\pi}(f, g) = \sum_j \Delta_j f S_{j-2} g \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\pi}(g, f) = \sum_j \Delta_j g S_{j-2} f,$$

plus a remainder

$$R(f, g) = \sum_{|l-j| \leq 2} \Delta_j f \Delta_l g.$$

$\dot{\pi}$ is called the homogeneous paraproduct operator and the convergence of the above series holds true in the quotient space $\mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{P}$. Finally, using the quasi-orthogonality properties from (3.4) and (3.5) and after neglecting some non-diagonal terms for simplicity (since the contributions from these non-diagonal terms are taken care of by the terms which are being considered and hence negligible and also this does not affect the convergence of the paraproducts [7, 9]), we obtain

$$\Delta_j(fg) = \Delta_j f S_{j-2} g + \Delta_j g S_{j-2} f + \Delta_j \left(\sum_{k \geq j} \Delta_k f \Delta_k g \right). \quad (3.13)$$

We refer the readers [7], [11], [21], [24] for extensive studies on paraproducts.

4. Main Results

Theorem 4.1 (Local Lyapunov Property in L^p). *Let $m \geq 3$, $2 \leq p < \infty$. Let ω be the solution of the vorticity equation (1.1) such that*

$$u \in C([0, T]; L^m \cap L^p), \quad \nabla u \in L^1_{loc}((0, T); L^p),$$

and

$$\omega \in C([0, T]; L^m \cap L^p), \quad \nabla \omega \in L_{loc}^1((0, T); L^p), \quad \text{for } 0 < T \leq \infty.$$

Then

$$\partial_t \|\omega(t)\|_p^p \leq -C(\nu - K \|u(t)\|_m) Q_p(\omega(t)), \quad 0 < t < T, \quad (4.1)$$

where K denotes a positive constant depending upon m and p .

This implies for small L^m -norm $t \rightarrow \|\omega(t)\|_{L^p}$ is a Lyapunov function.

Proof. Consider,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \|\omega\|_p^p &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int |\omega|^p dx = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int |\omega|^2 |\omega|^{p/2} dx \\ &= p \int |\omega|^{p-2} \omega \cdot \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} dx = p \langle |\omega|^{p-2} \omega, \partial_t \omega \rangle \\ &= p \langle |\omega|^{p-2} \omega, \nu \Delta \omega - u \cdot \nabla \omega + \omega \cdot \nabla u \rangle \\ &= \nu p \langle |\omega|^{p-2} \omega, \Delta \omega \rangle - p \langle |\omega|^{p-2} \omega, u \cdot \nabla \omega \rangle \\ &\quad + p \langle |\omega|^{p-2} \omega, \omega \cdot \nabla u \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Using Lemma 2.5 on the first term of the right hand side, we have from (4.2)

$$\partial_t \|\omega\|_p^p \leq -C\nu Q_p(\omega) - p \langle |\omega|^{p-2} \omega, u \cdot \nabla \omega \rangle + p \langle |\omega|^{p-2} \omega, \omega \cdot \nabla u \rangle \quad (4.3)$$

Now we need to estimate the second and the third terms of the right hand side of the equation (4.3).

Using the fact that $\text{Div } u = 0$, we have

$$u \cdot \nabla \omega = u_i \frac{\partial \omega_j}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (u_i \omega_j) - \omega_j \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} = \nabla \cdot (u \otimes \omega), \quad (4.4)$$

where $\otimes$ represents the tensor product.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle |\omega|^{p-2} \omega, u \cdot \nabla \omega \rangle| &= |\langle |\omega|^{p-2} \omega, \nabla \cdot (u \otimes \omega) \rangle| \\ &= |\langle \nabla (|\omega|^{p-2} \omega), u \otimes \omega \rangle| \\ &\leq \langle |\nabla (|\omega|^{p-2} \omega)|, |u \otimes \omega| \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Notice that $|\nabla (|\omega|^{p-2} \omega)| \leq C |\omega|^{p-2} |\nabla \omega|$. Hence using this and Hölder's inequality in (4.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle |\omega|^{p-2} \omega, u \cdot \nabla \omega \rangle| &\leq \langle |\omega|^{p-2} |\nabla \omega|, |u \otimes \omega| \rangle \\ &\leq \| |\omega|^{p-2} |\nabla \omega| \|_q \|u \otimes \omega\|_{q'}, \quad \text{where } \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \| |\omega|^{p-2} |\nabla \omega| \|_q^q &= \int |\omega|^{q(p-2)} |\nabla \omega|^q dx \\ &= \int |\omega|^{q(p-2)/2} (|\omega|^{p-2} |\nabla \omega|^2)^{q/2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{2-q}{2} + \frac{q}{2} = 1$, Hölder inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \| |\omega|^{p-2} \nabla \omega \|_q^q \\
& \leq \left[\int (|\omega|^{q(p-2)/2})^{2/(2-q)} dx \right]^{\frac{2-q}{2}} \left[\int \{ (|\omega|^{p-2} |\nabla \omega|^2)^{q/2} \}^{2/q} dx \right]^{\frac{q}{2}} \\
& = \left[\int |\omega|^{q(p-2)/(2-q)} dx \right]^{(2-q)/2} \left[\int |\omega|^{p-2} |\nabla \omega|^2 dx \right]^{q/2} \\
& = \| \omega \|_r^{q(p-2)/2} Q_p(\omega)^{q/2}, \quad \text{where } r = \frac{q(p-2)}{(2-q)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\| |\omega|^{p-2} \nabla \omega \|_q \leq \| \omega \|_r^{(p-2)/2} Q_p(\omega)^{1/2}. \quad (4.7)$$

Again by Hölder,

$$\| u \otimes \omega \|_{q'} \leq C \| u \|_m \| \omega \|_r, \quad \text{since } \frac{1}{q'} = \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{r}. \quad (4.8)$$

Now from the relations

$$\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1, \quad r = \frac{q(p-2)}{(2-q)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{q'} = \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{r},$$

we find that

$$r = \frac{mp}{(m-2)}. \quad (4.9)$$

Using equations (4.7) and (4.8) in (4.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
| \langle |\omega|^{p-2} \omega, u \cdot \nabla \omega \rangle | & \leq C \| \omega \|_r^{(p-2)/2} Q_p(\omega)^{1/2} \| u \|_m \| \omega \|_r \\
& = C \| u \|_m \| \omega \|_r^{p/2} Q_p(\omega)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the Lemma 2.6 in the above equation we obtain

$$| \langle |\omega|^{p-2} \omega, u \cdot \nabla \omega \rangle | \leq C \| u \|_m Q_p(\omega). \quad (4.10)$$

The third term in the equation (4.3) can be estimated by using the fact that $\text{Div } \omega = 0$ along with the similar kind of techniques taken to estimate the second term.

Thus we get

$$| \langle |\omega|^{p-2} \omega, \omega \cdot \nabla u \rangle | \leq C \| u \|_m Q_p(\omega). \quad (4.11)$$

Combining (4.10) and (4.11) with (4.3) we get the desired result (4.1). $\square$

Theorem 4.2 (Local Lyapunov Property in Besov Spaces). *Let the initial data ω_0 for the 3-D vorticity equation be in $\dot{B}_p^{s,q}$ where $s = \frac{3}{p} - 1$, $p, q \geq 2$, and $\frac{3}{p} + \frac{2}{q} > 1$. Then there exist small constants $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ and $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ such that if the velocity field satisfies $\sup_t \| u(t) \|_{\dot{B}_\infty^{-1,\infty}} < \varepsilon_1$ and the vorticity field satisfies $\sup_t \| \omega(t) \|_{\dot{B}_\infty^{-2,\infty}} < \varepsilon_2$, then $t \rightarrow \| \omega(t) \|_{\dot{B}_p^{s,q}}$ is a Lyapunov function.*

Proof. Let us consider

$$F(u, w) = u \cdot \nabla \omega - \omega \cdot \nabla u.$$

Multiply the equation (1.1) by Δ_j to get,

$$\partial_t(\Delta_j \omega) - \nu \Delta(\Delta_j \omega) + \Delta_j(F(u, w)) = 0. \quad (4.12)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^p &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int |\Delta_j \omega|^p dx = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int |(\Delta_j \omega)^2|^{p/2} dx \\ &= p \int |\Delta_j \omega|^{p-2} \Delta_j \omega \cdot \frac{\partial(\Delta_j \omega)}{\partial t} dx \\ &= p \langle |\Delta_j \omega|^{p-2} \Delta_j \omega, \partial_t(\Delta_j \omega) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence using (4.12) we have from the above equation

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^p &= p \langle |\Delta_j \omega|^{p-2} \Delta_j \omega, \nu \Delta(\Delta_j \omega) - \Delta_j(F(u, w)) \rangle \\ &= \nu p \langle |\Delta_j \omega|^{p-2} \Delta_j \omega, \Delta(\Delta_j \omega) \rangle \\ &\quad - p \langle |\Delta_j \omega|^{p-2} \Delta_j \omega, \Delta_j(F(u, w)) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Lemma 2.5 on the first term on the right hand side of the above equation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^p &\leq -\nu p \int |\Delta_j \omega|^{p-2} |\nabla \Delta_j \omega|^2 dx \\ &\quad - p \langle |\Delta_j \omega|^{p-2} \Delta_j \omega, \Delta_j(F(u, w)) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^p + \nu p \int |\Delta_j \omega|^{p-2} |\nabla \Delta_j \omega|^2 dx \\ \leq -p \int |\Delta_j \omega|^{p-2} \Delta_j \omega \Delta_j(F(u, w)) dx, \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent of considering the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^p + \nu p \int |\Delta_j \omega|^{p-2} |\nabla \Delta_j \omega|^2 dx \\ \leq p \int |\Delta_j \omega|^{p-1} |\Delta_j(F(u, w))| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.3 we replace the second term to get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^p + \tilde{C}_p \nu p 2^{2j} \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^p \leq p \int |\Delta_j \omega|^{p-1} |\Delta_j(F(u, w))| dx, \quad (4.13)$$

where $\tilde{C}_p$ is positive constant depending on p .

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_j(F(u, w))| &= |\Delta_j(u \cdot \nabla \omega - \omega \cdot \nabla u)| \\ &\leq |\Delta_j(u \cdot \nabla \omega)| + |\Delta_j(\omega \cdot \nabla u)|. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$u \cdot \nabla \omega = u_i \frac{\partial \omega_j}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (u_i \omega_j) - \omega_j \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} = \nabla \cdot (u \otimes \omega), \quad \text{since } \operatorname{Div} u = 0,$$

and similarly $\omega \cdot \nabla u = \nabla \cdot (\omega \otimes u)$, where $\otimes$ represents the usual tensor product. Since the terms $\nabla \cdot (u \otimes \omega)$ and $\nabla \cdot (\omega \otimes u)$ behave in similar fashion, we have from equation (4.13)

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^p + \tilde{C}_p \nu p 2^{2j} \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^p \leq 2p \int |\Delta_j \omega|^{p-1} |\Delta_j \nabla \cdot (u \otimes \omega)| \, dx. \quad (4.14)$$

Now using the paraproduct rule (3.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_j \nabla \cdot (u \otimes \omega) &= \nabla \Delta_j (u \otimes \omega) \\ &= \nabla (\Delta_j u \, S_{j-2} \omega) + \nabla (\Delta_j \omega \, S_{j-2} u) + \nabla \left(\Delta_j \left(\sum_{k \geq j} \Delta_k u \, \Delta_k \omega \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Using (4.15) in (4.14) we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^p + \tilde{C}_p \nu p 2^{2j} \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^p \\ &\leq 2p \int |\Delta_j \omega|^{p-1} |\nabla (\Delta_j u \, S_{j-2} \omega)| \, dx \\ &\quad + 2p \int |\Delta_j \omega|^{p-1} |\nabla (\Delta_j \omega \, S_{j-2} u)| \, dx \\ &\quad + 2p \int |\Delta_j \omega|^{p-1} |\nabla \left(\Delta_j \left(\sum_{k \geq j} \Delta_k u \, \Delta_k \omega \right) \right)| \, dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

We need to estimate each of the terms on the right hand side of (4.16) separately.

First consider the term

$$\int |\Delta_j \omega|^{p-1} |\nabla (\Delta_j \omega \, S_{j-2} u)| \, dx,$$

and apply Hölder's Inequality to get,

$$\int |\Delta_j \omega|^{p-1} |\nabla (\Delta_j \omega \, S_{j-2} u)| \, dx \leq \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^{p-1} \|\nabla (\Delta_j \omega \, S_{j-2} u)\|_p.$$

With the help of Lemma 3.2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int |\Delta_j \omega|^{p-1} |\nabla (\Delta_j \omega \, S_{j-2} u)| \, dx \\ &\leq C_1 \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^{p-1} 2^j \|\Delta_j \omega \, S_{j-2} u\|_p \\ &= C_1 \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^{p-1} 2^j \|(2^j \Delta_j \omega)(2^{-j} S_{j-2} u)\|_p \\ &\leq C_1 \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^{p-1} 2^j \|2^j \Delta_j \omega\|_p \sup_j (2^{-j} \|S_{j-2} u\|_\infty) \\ &= C_1 2^{2j} \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^p \sup_j (2^{-j} \|S_{j-2} u\|_\infty), \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

where C_1 is positive constant.

Now from Lemma 3.1, for $s = -1$ and $p = q = \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{-j} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^\infty} &\in l^\infty \Leftrightarrow 2^{-j} \|S_j u\|_{L^\infty} \in l^\infty \\ \Rightarrow \sup_j 2^{-j} \|\Delta_j u\|_\infty &\Leftrightarrow \sup_j 2^{-j} \|S_j u\|_\infty \\ \Rightarrow \|u(x, t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty}^{-1, \infty}} &\Leftrightarrow \sup_j 2^{-j} \|S_j u\|_\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Then using the conditions assumed in the theorem, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(x, t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty}^{-1, \infty}} &\leq \sup_t \|u(x, t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty}^{-1, \infty}} \leq \varepsilon_1, \\ \Rightarrow \sup_j 2^{-j} \|S_j u\|_\infty &\leq \varepsilon_1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

So finally (4.17) yields

$$\int |\Delta_j \omega|^{p-1} |\nabla(\Delta_j \omega S_{j-2} u)| dx \leq C_1 \varepsilon_1 2^{2j} \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^p. \quad (4.20)$$

Now let us consider the term:

$$\int |\Delta_j \omega|^{p-1} |\nabla(\Delta_j u S_{j-2} \omega)| dx.$$

As before Hölder's Inequality and Lemma 3.2 yield

$$\begin{aligned} &\int |\Delta_j \omega|^{p-1} |\nabla(\Delta_j u S_{j-2} \omega)| dx \\ &\leq \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^{p-1} \|\nabla(\Delta_j u S_{j-2} \omega)\|_p \\ &\leq C_2 \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^{p-1} 2^j \|\Delta_j u S_{j-2} \omega\|_p \\ &= C_2 \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^{p-1} 2^j \|(2^{2j} \Delta_j u)(2^{-2j} S_{j-2} \omega)\|_p \\ &\leq C_2 \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^{p-1} 2^j \|2^{2j} \Delta_j u\|_p \sup_j (2^{-2j} \|S_{j-2} \omega\|_\infty), \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

where C_2 is positive constant.

From Lemma 3.2, equation (3.11), we obtain

$$2^j \|\Delta_j u\|_p \leq \|\nabla \Delta_j u\|_p. \quad (4.22)$$

The above equation and (2.5) in Lemma 2.7 yield

$$\|\Delta_j u\|_p \leq 2^{-j} \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p. \quad (4.23)$$

Now applying Lemma 3.1, for $s = -2$ and $p = q = \infty$ and proceeding as before we obtain

$$\sup_j 2^{-j} \|S_j \omega\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon_2. \quad (4.24)$$

Using (4.23) and (4.24) in (4.21) we have

$$\int |\Delta_j \omega|^{p-1} |\nabla(\Delta_j u S_{j-2} \omega)| dx \leq C_2 \varepsilon_2 2^{2j} \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^p. \quad (4.25)$$

Next we estimate the last term

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int |\Delta_j \omega|^{p-1} |\nabla(\Delta_j(\sum_{k \geq j} \Delta_k u \Delta_k \omega))| dx \\
& \leq \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^{p-1} \|\nabla(\Delta_j(\sum_{k \geq j} \Delta_k u \Delta_k \omega))\|_p \\
& \leq C_3 2^j \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^{p-1} \|\Delta_j(\sum_{k \geq j} \Delta_k u \Delta_k \omega)\|_p
\end{aligned}$$

where C_3 is positive constant.

Using Young's Inequality as in [9], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int |\Delta_j \omega|^{p-1} |\nabla(\Delta_j(\sum_{k \geq j} \Delta_k u \Delta_k \omega))| dx \\
& \leq C_p 2^j \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^{p-1} \left(\sum_{k \geq j} \|\Delta_k u\|_p \|\Delta_k \omega\|_p \right), \tag{4.26}
\end{aligned}$$

where C_p is positive constant depending on p .

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^{p-1} &= 2^{2j} (2^{-2j} \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p) \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^{p-2} \\
&\leq 2^{2j} \left(\sup_j 2^{-2j} \|\Delta_j \omega\|_\infty \right) \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^{p-2} \\
&= 2^{2j} \|\omega(x, t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty}^{-2, \infty}} \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^{p-2} \\
&\leq \varepsilon_2 2^{2j} \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^{p-2}. \tag{4.27}
\end{aligned}$$

Using (4.23) and (4.27) in (4.26) we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int |\Delta_j \omega|^{p-1} |\nabla(\Delta_j(\sum_{k \geq j} \Delta_k u \Delta_k \omega))| dx \\
& \leq C_p \varepsilon_2 2^{2j} \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^{p-2} \left(\sum_{k \geq j} \|\Delta_k \omega\|_p^2 \right). \tag{4.28}
\end{aligned}$$

Now combining all results from (4.20), (4.25) and (4.28) and neglecting the constants $C_1, C_2, C_p, \tilde{C}_p$, we obtain from (4.16)

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^p + \nu p 2^{2j} \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^p &\leq 2p\varepsilon_1 2^{2j} \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^p + 2p\varepsilon_2 2^{2j} \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^p \\
&\quad + 2p\varepsilon_2 2^{2j} \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^{p-2} \left(\sum_{k \geq j} \|\Delta_k \omega\|_p^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Simplifying we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^2 + p(\nu - 2\varepsilon_1 - 2\varepsilon_2) 2^{2j} \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^2 &\leq 2p\varepsilon_2 2^{2j} \left(\sum_{k \geq j} \|\Delta_k \omega\|_p^2 \right). \tag{4.29}
\end{aligned}$$

The rest of the construction is motivated by [10]. Multiplying both sides of (4.29) by $2^{jq_s} \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^{q-2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} (2^{jq_s} \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^q) + p(\nu - 2\varepsilon_1 - 2\varepsilon_2) 2^{j(qs+2)} \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^q \\ & \leq 2p\varepsilon_2 2^{j(qs+2)} \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^{q-2} \left(\sum_{k \geq j} \|\Delta_k \omega\|_p^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $s = \frac{3}{p} - 1$, $p, q \geq 2$, and $\frac{3}{p} + \frac{2}{q} > 1$. Then $r = \frac{2}{q} + s > 0$ or $qs + 2 = rq$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} (2^{jq_s} \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^q) + p(\nu - 2\varepsilon_1 - 2\varepsilon_2) 2^{rqj} \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^q \\ & \leq 2p\varepsilon_2 2^{(q-2)rj} \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p^{q-2} 2^{2rj} \left(\sum_{k \geq j} \|\Delta_k \omega\|_p^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

Let $f_j = 2^{js} \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p$ and $g_j = 2^{jr} \|\Delta_j \omega\|_p$. Then taking sum over j of (4.30) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\sum_j f_j^q \right) + p(\nu - 2\varepsilon_1 - 2\varepsilon_2) \sum_j g_j^q \leq 2p\varepsilon_2 \sum_j g_j^{q-2} 2^{2rj} \left(\sum_{k \geq j} \|\Delta_k \omega\|_p^2 \right) \\ & = 2p\varepsilon_2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^k g_j^{q-2} 2^{2rj} \|\Delta_k \omega\|_p^2 \\ & = 2p\varepsilon_2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^k g_j^{q-2} 2^{2rj} 2^{-2rk} g_k^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

Let us consider

$$\sum_{j=1}^k g_j^{q-2} 2^{2rj} = 2^{2rk} h_k^{q-2}.$$

Then it is clear that

$$\sum_k h_k^q \leq \sum_j g_j^q. \quad (4.32)$$

So (4.31) yields with the help of Hölder Inequality and (4.32)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \left(\sum_j f_j^q \right) + p(\nu - 2\varepsilon_1 - 2\varepsilon_2) \sum_j g_j^q \\
& \leq 2p\varepsilon_2 \sum_k h_k^{q-2} g_k^2 \\
& \leq 2p\varepsilon_2 \left(\sum_k (h_k^{q-2})^{\frac{q}{q-2}} \right)^{\frac{q-2}{q}} \left(\sum_k (g_k^2)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{q}}, \quad \text{since } \frac{q-2}{q} + \frac{2}{q} = 1, \\
& \leq 2p\varepsilon_2 \left(\sum_k g_k^q \right)^{\frac{q-2}{q}} \left(\sum_k g_k^q \right)^{\frac{2}{q}} \\
& = 2p\varepsilon_2 \sum_k g_k^q.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.33}$$

Hence,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\sum_j f_j^q \right) + p(\nu - 2\varepsilon_1 - 4\varepsilon_2) \sum_j g_j^q \leq 0. \tag{4.34}$$

Using the definition of Besov Spaces in (3.6), we can write,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\| \omega(x, t) \|_{\dot{B}_p^{s, q}}^q \right) + p(\nu - 2\varepsilon_1 - 4\varepsilon_2) \| \omega(x, t) \|_{\dot{B}_p^{r, q}}^q \leq 0.$$

Hence $t \rightarrow \| \omega(t) \|_{\dot{B}_p^{s, q}}$ is a Lyapunov function for small ε_1 and ε_2 and comparatively large ν . $\square$

Now let us prove the dissipativity of the sum of the linear and nonlinear operators of the vorticity equation in $L^m(\mathbb{R}^m)$.

We write (1.1) in the form $\partial_t \omega = A(u, \omega)$, where $A : u, \omega \mapsto A(u, \omega) = \nu \Delta \omega - u \cdot \nabla \omega + \omega \cdot \nabla u$ is a nonlinear operator. We know that $G(\omega) = |\omega|^{p-2} \omega$ is the duality map on L^p to $L^{p'}$. In Theorem 4.1 we proved that

$$\langle A(u, \omega), G(\omega) \rangle \leq -C(\nu - K \|u(t)\|_m) Q_p(\omega(t)). \tag{4.35}$$

Here we will prove a stronger property than (4.35).

Theorem 4.3 (Local Dissipativity in L^p). *Let $m \geq 3$, $2 \leq p < \infty$. Then if $(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^m) \cap L^r(\mathbb{R}^m)$, for $r = \frac{mp}{m-2}$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle A(u, \omega) - A(v, \tilde{\omega}), G(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \rangle \\
& \leq -C(\nu - K(\|u\|_m + \|v\|_m + \|\omega\|_m + \|\tilde{\omega}\|_m)) Q_p(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \\
& \quad - K(\|\omega\|_m + \|\tilde{\omega}\|_m) \|\omega - \tilde{\omega}\|_{L^1} Q_p(\omega - \tilde{\omega})^{1/p'},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$.

Hence, in the light of (2.6), we note that if ω and $\tilde{\omega}$ are small in $L^1 \cap L^m$, then

$$\langle A(u, \omega) - A(v, \tilde{\omega}), G(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \rangle \leq 0,$$

which is a local dissipativity property for $A(\cdot, \cdot)$.

Proof. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle A(u, \omega) - A(v, \tilde{\omega}), G(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \rangle \\
&= \langle \nu \Delta \omega - u \cdot \nabla \omega + \omega \cdot \nabla u - \nu \Delta \tilde{\omega} + v \cdot \nabla \tilde{\omega} - \tilde{\omega} \cdot \nabla v, G(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \rangle \\
&= \nu \langle \Delta(\omega - \tilde{\omega}), G(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \rangle - \langle u \cdot \nabla \omega - v \cdot \nabla \tilde{\omega}, G(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \rangle \\
&\quad + \langle \omega \cdot \nabla u - \tilde{\omega} \cdot \nabla v, G(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.37}$$

According to Lemma 2.5

$$\nu \langle \Delta(\omega - \tilde{\omega}), G(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \rangle \leq -C\nu Q_p(\omega - \tilde{\omega}). \tag{4.38}$$

Now we need to estimate the second and third terms of the right hand side of (4.37).

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
& | \langle u \cdot \nabla \omega - v \cdot \nabla \tilde{\omega}, G(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \rangle | \\
&= | \langle u \cdot \nabla \omega - v \cdot \nabla \omega + v \cdot \nabla \omega - v \cdot \nabla \tilde{\omega}, G(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \rangle | \\
&\leq | \langle (u - v) \cdot \nabla \omega, G(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \rangle | + | \langle v \cdot \nabla(\omega - \tilde{\omega}), G(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \rangle |.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.39}$$

Let us denote $\omega^* = \omega - \tilde{\omega}$. Then with the help of (4.10) we obtain

$$| \langle v \cdot \nabla(\omega - \tilde{\omega}), G(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \rangle | \leq C \|v\|_m Q_p(\omega - \tilde{\omega}). \tag{4.40}$$

Since $\text{Div}(u - v) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
| \langle (u - v) \cdot \nabla \omega, G(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \rangle | &= | \langle \nabla \cdot ((u - v) \otimes \omega), G(\omega^*) \rangle | \\
&= | \langle \nabla \cdot ((u - v) \otimes \omega), |\omega^*|^{p-2} \omega^* \rangle |.
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
| \langle (u - v) \cdot \nabla \omega, G(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \rangle | &= | \langle (u - v) \otimes \omega, \nabla(|\omega^*|^{p-2} \omega^*) \rangle | \\
&\leq \langle |(u - v) \otimes \omega|, |\nabla(|\omega^*|^{p-2} \omega^*)| \rangle \\
&\leq \langle |(u - v) \otimes \omega|, |\omega^*|^{p-2} |\nabla \omega^*| \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Now using the Hölder's inequality we obtain,

$$| \langle (u - v) \cdot \nabla \omega, G(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \rangle | \leq \| (u - v) \otimes \omega \|_{q'} \| |\omega^*|^{p-2} \nabla \omega^* \|_q, \tag{4.41}$$

where $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$.

Using (4.7) and Hölder's inequality one more time, we have

$$| \langle (u - v) \cdot \nabla \omega, G(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \rangle | \leq C \|u - v\|_r \|\omega\|_m \|\omega^*\|_r^{(p-2)/2} Q_p(\omega^*)^{1/2}, \tag{4.42}$$

where $\frac{1}{q'} = \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{m}$ and $r = \frac{mp}{m-2}$.

Notice that if K is the Biot-Savart kernel then $u - v = K * \omega - K * \tilde{\omega} = K * (\omega - \tilde{\omega}) = K * \omega^*$. Hence using the Lemma 2.7, (2.6) we get from (4.42)

$$\begin{aligned}
& | \langle (u - v) \cdot \nabla \omega, G(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \rangle | \\
&\leq C (\|\omega^*\|_{L^1} + \|\omega^*\|_{L^r}) \|\omega\|_m \|\omega^*\|_r^{(p-2)/2} Q_p(\omega^*)^{1/2} \\
&= C \|\omega^*\|_r^{p/2} \|\omega\|_m Q_p(\omega^*)^{1/2} \\
&\quad + C \|\omega^*\|_{L^1} \|\omega^*\|_r^{(p-2)/2} \|\omega\|_m Q_p(\omega^*)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.43}$$

With the help of Lemma 2.6, equation (4.43) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& | \langle (u - v) \cdot \nabla \omega, G(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \rangle | \\
& \leq C \| \omega \|_m Q_p(\omega^*) + C \| \omega^* \|_{L^1} \| \omega \|_m Q_p(\omega^*)^{(p-1)/p} \\
& = C \| \omega \|_m Q_p(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) + C \| \omega - \tilde{\omega} \|_{L^1} \| \omega \|_m Q_p(\omega - \tilde{\omega})^{1/p'}. \quad (4.44)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus substituting the results from (4.40) and (4.44) in (4.39) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
| \langle u \cdot \nabla \omega - v \cdot \nabla \tilde{\omega}, G(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \rangle | & \leq C (\| v \|_m + \| \omega \|_m) Q_p(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \\
& + C \| \omega - \tilde{\omega} \|_{L^1} \| \omega \|_m Q_p(\omega - \tilde{\omega})^{1/p'}, \quad (4.45)
\end{aligned}$$

where C is a positive constant depending upon m and p .

Next we estimate the third term of the equation (4.37). We notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
& | \langle \omega \cdot \nabla u - \tilde{\omega} \cdot \nabla v, G(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \rangle | \\
& = | \langle \omega \cdot \nabla u - \tilde{\omega} \cdot \nabla u + \tilde{\omega} \cdot \nabla u - \tilde{\omega} \cdot \nabla v, G(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \rangle | \\
& \leq | \langle (\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \cdot u, G(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \rangle | + | \langle \tilde{\omega} \cdot \nabla(u - v), G(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \rangle |. \quad (4.46)
\end{aligned}$$

Here we proceed in the similar way as before to get

$$| \langle (\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \cdot u, G(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \rangle | \leq C \| u \|_m Q_p(\omega - \tilde{\omega}), \quad (4.47)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
| \langle \tilde{\omega} \cdot \nabla(u - v), G(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \rangle | & \leq C \| \tilde{\omega} \|_m Q_p(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \\
& + C \| \omega - \tilde{\omega} \|_{L^1} \| \tilde{\omega} \|_m Q_p(\omega - \tilde{\omega})^{1/p'}. \quad (4.48)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (4.46) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
| \langle \omega \cdot \nabla u - \tilde{\omega} \cdot \nabla v, G(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \rangle | & \leq C (\| u \|_m + \| \tilde{\omega} \|_m) Q_p(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) \\
& + C \| \omega - \tilde{\omega} \|_{L^1} \| \tilde{\omega} \|_m Q_p(\omega - \tilde{\omega})^{1/p'}, \quad (4.49)
\end{aligned}$$

where C is a positive constant depending upon m and p .

Hence (4.38), (4.45) and (4.49) yield the desired result (4.36) from (4.37). $\square$

References

- [1] V. Barbu, "Nonlinear semigroups and differential equations in Banach spaces," Noordhoff International Publishing, Leiden, 1976.
- [2] V. Barbu, and S.S. Sritharan, *m-accretive quantization of the vorticity equation*, Semigroups of operators: theory and applications, Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., 42(2000), 296–303.
- [3] V. Barbu, and S.S. Sritharan, *Flow invariance preserving feedback controllers for the Navier-Stokes equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 255(2001), no. 1, 281–307.
- [4] J. Bergh, and J. Löfström, "Interpolation Spaces, An Introduction," Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1976.
- [5] J.M. Bony, *Calcul symbolique et propagation des singularités pour les équations aux dérivées partielles non linéaires*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. 14(4)(1981), 209–246.
- [6] F. Browder, "Nonlinear operators and nonlinear equations of evolution in Banach spaces," Proc. Symp. Pure Math. XVIII, Part 2, American Mathematical Society, 1976.
- [7] M. Cannone, "Ondelettes, Paraproducts et Navier-Stokes," Diderot diteur, Paris, 1995.

- [8] M. Cannone, “Harmonic analysis tools for solving the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. Handbook of mathematical fluid dynamics,” North-Holland, Amsterdam, Vol. III, 161–244, 2004.
- [9] M. Cannone, and Y. Meyer, *Littlewood-Paley decomposition and the Navier-Stokes equations*, Meth. and Appl. of Anal., 2(1995), 307–319.
- [10] M. Cannone, and F. Planchon, *Fonctions de Lyapunov pour les équations de Navier-Stokes*, Séminaire: Équations aux Dérivées Partielles, 1999–2000, Exp. No. XII, 9 pp.
- [11] J.-Y. Chemin, “Perfect Incompressible Fluids,” Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1998.
- [12] R. Freeman, and P. Kokotović, “Robust nonlinear control design state space and Lyapunov techniques,” Birkhäuser, Boston, 1996.
- [13] T. Kato, *Liapunov functions and monotonicity in the Navier-stokes equation*, Lecture notes in Mathematics, 1450(1990), 53–63.
- [14] H. Koch, and D. Tataru, *Well posedness for the Navier-Stokes equations*, Advances in Math., 157(2001), 22–35.
- [15] O.A. Ladyzhenskaya, “The Mathematical Theory of Viscous Incompressible Flow,” Gordon and Breach, New York, 1969.
- [16] J.P. LaSalle, *Applications of Liapunov stability theory to control systems*, Proc. IBM Sci. Comput. Sympos. Control Theory Appl.(1966), pp 61–75.
- [17] J.P. LaSalle, *Stability and control*, J. SIAM Control Ser. A, 1(1962), 3–15.
- [18] P.G. Lemarié-Rieusset, “Recent Developments in the Navier-Stokes Problem,” Chapman & Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics 431, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2002.
- [19] U. Manna, J.L. Menaldi, and S.S. Sritharan, *Stochastic 2-D Navier-Stokes Equation with Artificial Compressibility*, accepted for publication in Communications on Stochastic Analysis(2006).
- [20] J.L. Menaldi, and S.S. Sritharan, *Stochastic 2-D Navier-Stokes Equation*, Appl. Math. Optim., 46(2002), 31–53.
- [21] Y. Meyer, *Régularité des solutions des équations aux dérivées partielles non linéaires (d’après J.- M. Bony)*, Sem. Bourbaki, Vol. 1979/80, 293–302, Lectures Notes in Math., 842, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1981.
- [22] J. Peetre, “New Thoughts on Besov Spaces,” Duke University Press, 1976.
- [23] F. Planchon, *Sur une inégalité de type Poincaré*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., 330(2000), no. 1, 21–23.
- [24] T. Runst, and W. Sickel, “Sobolev spaces of fractional order, Nemytskij operators, and nonlinear partial differential equations,” Walter De Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1996.
- [25] S.S. Sritharan, Editor, “Optimal control of viscous flow,” SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 1998.
- [26] E.M. Stein, “Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions,” Princeton University Press, 1970.
- [27] H. Triebel, “Theory of Function Spaces,” Geest & Portig, Leipzig, and Birkhäuser, Basel, 78, 1983.
- [28] L.A. Ying, and P. Zhang, “Vortex Methods,” Science Press, Beijing/New York, 1996.